



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*MN*  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,804	12/05/2003	Linghsiao Wang	23433	2268
24932	7590	07/09/2007	EXAMINER	
LAUBSCHER & LAUBSCHER, P.C. 1160 SPA ROAD SUITE 2B ANNAPOLIS, MD 21403			MADAMBA, GLENFORD J	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2151				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/09/2007		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/729,804	WANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Glenford Madamba	2151

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 December 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                            2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/05, 10/05, 3/06.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

**DETAILED ACTION****Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter et al (hereinafter Carter), U.S. Patent Publication US 2003/0035374 A1 in view of Patel et al (hereinafter Patel), U.S. Patent 7,126,913 B1.

As per Claims 1 and 16, Carter in view of Patel discloses an egress rate controller monitoring content traffic transmitted from an edge network node of a packet-switched communications network node comprising [Abstract]:

a. a leaky bucket having an initial maximum number of tokens which decreases as packets are received in an associated output buffer at a reception token rate for transmission (e.g., token/leaky bucket shaper) [0084];

- b. a plurality of token availability threshold level registers specifying a corresponding plurality of token amounts defining token availability regions (e.g., buffers 25a-c) [Fig. 4]; and
- c. a packet transmission suppression controller (Router 13) [Fig. 2] selectively suppressing transmission of a packet having a traffic class association (decreasing buffer output rate) [Abstract] (e.g. Router with traffic rate control 304) [Fig. 3] based on a current token availability level being within a token availability region specifying transmission suppression of packets of the traffic class.

Further, while Carter discloses substantial features of the invention, such as the egress rate controller and the plurality of token availability threshold level registers of claim 1, he does not expressly disclose the recited features the registers specifying a corresponding plurality of token amounts defining token availability regions and the controller selectively suppressing transmission of a packet having a traffic class association based on a current token availability level being within a token availability region specifying transmission suppression of packets of the traffic class. The features are expressly disclosed by Patel in a related endeavor.

Patel discloses as his invention a method and system for managing transmission resources in a wireless communications network including receiving a plurality of packets and determining a time duration for transmission of each packet. A power level for transmission of each packet over the time duration is further determined.

Based on the time duration and the power level determined for each packet, a wireless resource impact is determined for each packet. Transmission resources are allocated to each packet based on the wireless resource impact determined for each packet [Abstract]. In particular, Patel discloses the recited features of the registers specifying a corresponding plurality of token amounts defining token availability regions ( $\{X, Y\}$  Token Regions) [Figs. 3, 4 & 8a-e], and the controller selectively suppressing transmission of a packet having a traffic class association [col 1, L36-40] based on a current token availability level being within a token availability region specifying transmission suppression of packets of the traffic class ( $\{X, Y\}$  Token Regions) [Figs. 3-5, 7, 8a-e, 11 and 13] [col 7, L42-53] [col 8, L21-35] [col 8, L60 – col 9, L60].

It would thus be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine and/or modify Carter's invention with the above recited features, as disclosed by Patel, for the motivation of providing a method and system for managing transmission resources in a wireless communications network architecture [col 1, L30-34] [Fig. 1].

Claim 16 recites that same limitations as claim 1 thus rejected on the same basis.

As per Claim 2, Carter discloses the egress rate controller claimed in claim 1, further comprising a classifier classifying received packets in accordance with a plurality of traffic classes (e.g., QoS Class of the packet) [0051] [Figs. 1 & 4].

As per Claim 3, Carter discloses the egress rate controller claimed in claim 1, further comprising a scheduler delaying packet transmission scheduling in accordance with a packet transmission suppression signal provided by the packet transmission suppression controller (Scheduler 305) [Fig. 3].

As per Claims 4 and 11, Carter in view of Patel discloses the egress rate controller claimed in claim 1, further comprising a bucket size register holding a value representative of a maximum number of tokens allocated to the leaky bucket.

Further, while Carter discloses substantial features of the invention, such as the egress rate controller and the plurality of token availability threshold level registers of claim 1, he does not expressly disclose the recited feature of the controller further comprising a bucket size register holding a value representative of a maximum number of tokens allocated to the leaky bucket. The features are expressly disclosed by Patel in a related endeavor.

Patel discloses as his invention a method and system for managing transmission resources in a wireless communications network including receiving a plurality of packets and determining a time duration for transmission of each packet [Abstract]. In particular, Patel discloses the recited feature of the controller further comprising a bucket size register holding a value representative of a maximum number of tokens allocated to the leaky bucket (e.g., Max Bucket Depth of "10") [Figs. 8a-e].

It would thus be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine and/or modify Carter's invention with the recited feature of the controller further comprising a bucket size register holding a value representative of a maximum number of tokens allocated to the leaky bucket, as disclosed by Patel, for the motivation of providing a method and system for managing transmission resources in a wireless communications network architecture [col 1, L30-34] [Fig. 1].

Claim 11 recites that same limitations as claim 4, is distinguished only by its statutory category and thus rejected on the same basis.

As per Claim 5, Carter discloses the egress rate controller claimed in claim 4, further comprising an output buffer, the size of the leaky bucket, in tokens, being at most equal to the size of output buffer, employing an output buffer larger than the leaky bucket enabling suppression of packet transmission without discarding packets (e.g., Output Buffer 25) [Fig. 3] [0086] [Fig. 9].

As per Claim 6, Carter discloses the egress rate controller claimed in claim 1, wherein the egress rate controller is associated with an output port of the edge network node (e.g., Port 54) [Fig. 5].

As per Claim 7, Carter discloses an communication network node comprising at least one egress rate controller claimed in claim 1 (egress router 13a/b) [Figs. 2, 4 & 6]

As per Claim 8, Carter discloses an communication network node comprising at least one egress rate controller claimed in claim 1 associated with at least one output port thereof (egress router 13a/b) (Port 54) [Figs. 2, 4 & 6]

As per Claim 17 and 21, Carter discloses the method of effecting egress rate control as claimed in claim 16, wherein selectively suppressing packet transmission, the method further comprises a step of: selectively suppressing packet transmission scheduling (e.g., slow down traffic from buffer) [0073] (scheduler 302) [0078] [0081].

Claim 21 recites that same limitations as claim 17, and thus rejected on the same basis.

As per Claim 18 and 23, Carter discloses the method of effecting egress rate control as claimed in claim 17, further comprising a step of: rescheduling the packet for transmission [0073] [0078].

Claim 23 recites that same limitations as claim 18, and thus rejected on the same basis.

As per Claim 19, Carter discloses the method of effecting egress rate control as claimed in claim 16, further comprising a prior step of: classifying packets in accordance with a plurality of traffic classes (i.e., segregating packet traffic according to CoS) [0078].

As per Claim 20, Carter in view of Patel discloses the method of effecting egress rate control as claimed in claim 16, further comprising a step of:

- a. determining whether a plurality of tokens corresponding to a size of the packet are available in the leaky bucket; and
- b. selectively suppressing packet transmission if there are insufficiently many tokens available in the leaky bucket.

Further, while Carter discloses substantial features of the invention, such as the egress rate controller and the plurality of token availability threshold level registers of claim 1, he does not expressly disclose the recited features of the egress controller further comprising a step of determining whether a plurality of tokens corresponding to a size of the packet are available in the leaky bucket; and selectively suppressing packet transmission if there are insufficiently many tokens available in the leaky bucket. The features are expressly disclosed by Patel in a related endeavor.

Patel discloses as his invention a method and system for managing transmission resources in a wireless communications network including receiving a plurality of packets and determining a time duration for transmission of each packet [Abstract]. In particular, Patel discloses the additional recited feature of the egress controller further comprising a step of determining whether a plurality of tokens corresponding to a size of the packet are available in the leaky bucket (e.g., "token available for packet in queue?" 114/134) [Fig. 7] (packet size "L") [col 11, L36]; and selectively suppressing packet transmission if there are

insufficiently many tokens available in the leaky bucket [col 8, L60 –col 9, L4] [Fig. 7] [col 9, L44-60] [col 10, L32-40] [Figs. 8a-e].

It would thus be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine and/or modify Carter's invention with the above recited feature, as disclosed by Patel, for the motivation of providing a method and system for managing transmission resources in a wireless communications network architecture [col 1, L30-34] [Fig. 1].

As per Claim 22, Carter discloses the method of effecting egress rate control as claimed in claim 21, further comprising a step of: storing the packet in an output buffer (e.g., Output buffer 25) [Fig. 3].

2. Claims 9-10, 12-15 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carter et al (hereinafter Carter), U.S. Patent Publication US 2003/0035374 A1 in view of Patel et al (hereinafter Patel), U.S. Patent 7,126,913 B1, and in further view of Gracon et al (hereinafter Gracon), U.S. Patent, 6,987,732 B2.

As per Claim 9, Carter in view of Patel and in further view of Gracon discloses an ingress rate controller monitoring content traffic received at an edge network node of a packet-switched communications network node comprising:

- a. a leaky bucket having an initial maximum number of tokens which decreases as packets received at a reception token rate are accepted (e.g., token/leaky bucket shaper) [0084];
- b. a plurality of token availability threshold level registers specifying a corresponding plurality of token amounts defining token availability regions (e.g., buffers 25a-c) [Figs. 4 & 10];
- c. a plurality of packet discard probability registers (buffers 51a-c) [Fig. 5], each packet discard probability register specifying a probability with which packets of a specific traffic class are to be dropped when a current token availability level is within a token availability region, and
- d. a packet acceptance controller selectively randomly discarding packets having a traffic class association based on the current token availability level being within a token availability region specifying random packet discard of packets of the traffic class.

Further, while Carter discloses substantial features of the invention, such as the egress rate controller and the plurality of token availability threshold level registers of claim 1, he does not expressly disclose the recited features of an "ingress" rate controller, the controller further comprising threshold registers specifying a corresponding plurality of token amounts defining token availability regions. The feature is expressly disclosed by Patel in a related endeavor.

Patel discloses as his invention a method and system for managing transmission resources in a wireless communications network including receiving

a plurality of packets and determining a time duration for transmission of each packet [Abstract]. In particular, Patel discloses the recited features of an "ingress" rate controller (ingress control system 34), the controller further comprising threshold registers specifying a corresponding plurality of token amounts defining token availability regions ({X , Y} Token Regions) [Figs . 3, 4 & 8a-e].

It would thus be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine and/or modify Carter's invention with the above recited features, as disclosed by Patel, for the motivation of providing a method and system for managing transmission resources in a wireless communications network architecture [col 1, L30-34] [Fig. 1].

Additionally, while the combination of Carter and Patel discloses substantial features of the invention such as the egress and ingress controllers of claim 1 and 9, respectively, as well as the threshold/discard registers, neither expressly discloses the additionally recited features of each packet discard probability register specifying a probability with which packets of a specific traffic class are to be dropped when a current token availability level is within a token availability region, and a packet acceptance controller selectively randomly discarding packets having a traffic class association based on the current token availability level being within a token availability region specifying random packet discard of packets of the traffic class. The features are expressly disclosed by Gracon in a related endeavor.

Gracon discloses as his invention a packet scheduler including a packet manager interface, a policer, a congestion manager, a scheduler, and a virtual output queue (VOQ) handler [Abstract]. In particular, Gracon discloses the recited features of each packet discard probability register specifying a probability with which packets of a specific traffic class are to be dropped when a current token availability level is within a token availability region ("Drop Probability" Pb) [col 7, L45], and a packet acceptance controller selectively randomly discarding packets having a traffic class association based on the current token availability level being within a token availability region specifying random packet discard of packets of the traffic class ("...the packet is randomly dropped based on the calculated Pb") [col 7, L49-53].

It would thus be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention resulting from the combination of Carter and Patel with the above recited features, as disclosed by Gracon, for the motivation of providing an apparatus that is programmable to accommodate existing protocols and to anticipate any future protocols, as well as to efficiently schedule packets in a broadband data stream [col 2, L11-23].

As per Claim 10, Carter in view of Patel discloses the ingress rate controller claimed in claim 9, further comprising a classifier classifying received packets in accordance with a plurality of traffic classes.

Further, while Carter discloses substantial features of the invention, such as the egress rate controller and the plurality of token availability threshold level registers of claim 1, he does not expressly disclose the recited features of an "ingress" rate controller, the controller further comprising a classifier classifying received packets in accordance with a plurality of traffic classes. The features are expressly disclosed by Patel in a related endeavor.

Patel discloses as his invention a method and system for managing transmission resources in a wireless communications network including receiving a plurality of packets and determining a time duration for transmission of each packet [Abstract]. In particular, Patel discloses the additional recited feature of an "ingress" rate controller (ingress control system 34) further comprising a classifier classifying received packets in accordance with a plurality of traffic classes [col 1, L36-41].

It would thus be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine and/or modify Carter's invention with the above recited feature, as disclosed by Patel, for the motivation of providing a method and system for managing transmission resources in a wireless communications network architecture [col 1, L30-34] [Fig. 1].

As per Claim 12, Carter discloses the ingress rate controller claimed in claim 9, further comprising an input buffer, the size of the leaky bucket, in tokens, being at most equal to the size of input buffer, employing an input buffer larger than the leaky bucket providing a slack in the number of packets available for

transmission to mask the effects of the ingress rate control effected (Input Buffers 41a-c) [Fig 4].

As per Claim 13, Carter discloses the ingress rate controller claimed in claim 9, wherein the ingress rate controller is associated with an input port of the edge network node (Port 54) [Fig. 5].

As per Claim 14, Carter discloses a communication network node comprising at least one ingress rate controller claimed in claim 9 (Ingress router 130) [Fig. 6].

As per Claim 15, Carter discloses an communication network node comprising at least one ingress rate controller (Ingress router 130) [Fig. 6] claimed in claim 9 associated with at least one input port thereof (Port 54) [Fig. 5].

As per Claim 24, Carter in view of Patel and in further view of Gracon discloses a method of effecting ingress rate control comprising the step of: selectively randomly discarding packets of a particular traffic class when a current token availability level of a leaky bucket tracking packets is between two token availability threshold levels of a plurality of token availability threshold levels.

While Carter discloses substantial features of the invention, such as the ingress rate controller and the plurality of token registers of claim 1, he does not expressly disclose the recited features of the ingress rate controller further

comprising threshold registers specifying a corresponding plurality of token amounts defining token availability levels. The feature is expressly disclosed by Patel in a related endeavor.

Patel discloses as his invention a method and system for managing transmission resources in a wireless communications network including receiving a plurality of packets and determining a time duration for transmission of each packet [Abstract]. In particular, Patel discloses the recited features of an "ingress" rate controller (ingress control system 34), the controller further comprising threshold registers specifying a corresponding plurality of token amounts defining token availability levels or regions ( $\{X, Y\}$  Token Regions) [Figs. 3, 4 & 8a-e].

It would thus be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine and/or modify Carter's invention with the above recited features, as disclosed by Patel, for the motivation of providing a method and system for managing transmission resources in a wireless communications network architecture [col 1, L30-34] [Fig. 1].

However, while the combination of Carter and Patel discloses substantial features of the invention such as the egress and ingress controllers of claim 1 and 9, respectively, as well as the threshold/discard registers, neither expressly discloses the additionally recited feature of the controller comprising the step of selectively randomly discarding packets of a particular traffic class when a current token availability level of a leaky bucket tracking packets is between two

token availability threshold levels of a plurality of token availability threshold levels. The feature is expressly disclosed by Gracon in a related endeavor.

Gracon discloses as his invention a packet scheduler including a packet manager interface, a policer, a congestion manager, a scheduler, and a virtual output queue (VOQ) handler [Abstract]. In particular, Gracon discloses the recited features of the controller selectively randomly discarding packets having a traffic class association based on the current token availability level being within a token availability region specifying random packet discard of packets of the traffic class (MinTh / MaxTh Packet Discard Parameters) (...the packet is randomly dropped based on the calculated Pb") [col 7, L28 – col 8, L12].

It would thus be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Carter's invention with the above recited feature, as disclosed by Gracon, for the motivation of providing an apparatus that is programmable to accommodate existing protocols and to anticipate any future protocols, as well as to efficiently schedule packets in a broadband data stream [col 2, L11-23].

As per Claim 25, Carter in view of Patel and in further view of Gracon discloses the method of effecting ingress rate control as claimed in claim 24, wherein randomly discarding packets the method further comprises a step of: randomly discarding packets with a corresponding discard probability.

While Carter discloses substantial features of the invention, such as the ingress rate controller and the plurality of token registers of claim 1, he does not expressly disclose the recited features of the ingress" rate controller further comprising threshold registers specifying a corresponding plurality of token amounts defining token availability regions. The feature is expressly disclosed by Patel in a related endeavor.

Patel discloses as his invention a method and system for managing transmission resources in a wireless communications network including receiving a plurality of packets and determining a time duration for transmission of each packet [Abstract]. In particular, Patel discloses the recited features of an "ingress" rate controller (ingress control system 34), the controller further comprising threshold registers specifying a corresponding plurality of token amounts defining token availability regions ( $\{X, Y\}$  Token Regions) [Figs . 3, 4 & 8a-e].

It would thus be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine and/or modify Carter's invention with the above recited features, as disclosed by Patel, for the motivation of providing a method and system for managing transmission resources in a wireless communications network architecture [col 1, L30-34] [Fig. 1].

However, while the combination of Carter and Patel discloses substantial features of the invention such as the egress and ingress controllers of claim 1 and 9, respectively, as well as the threshold/discard registers, neither expressly discloses the recited feature of the controller further comprising a step of

randomly discarding packets with a corresponding discard probability. The feature is expressly disclosed by Gracon in a related endeavor.

Gracon discloses as his invention a packet scheduler including a packet manager interface, a policer, a congestion manager, a scheduler, and a virtual output queue (VOQ) handler [Abstract]. In particular, Gracon discloses the recited feature of the controller further comprising a step of randomly discarding packets with a corresponding discard probability ("Drop Probability" Pb) [col 7, L45] ("...the packet is randomly dropped based on the calculated Pb") [col 7, L49-53].

It would thus be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention resulting from the combination of Carter and Patel with the above recited features, as disclosed by Gracon, for the motivation of providing an apparatus that is programmable to accommodate existing protocols and to anticipate any future protocols, as well as to efficiently schedule packets in a broadband data stream [col 2, L11-23].

As per Claim 26, Carter discloses the method of effecting ingress rate control as claimed in claim 24, further comprising a prior step of: classifying packets in accordance with a plurality of traffic classes (e.g., QoS Class of the packet) [0051] [Figs. 1 & 4].

As per Claim 27, Carter in view of Patel and in further view of Gracon discloses

the method of effecting ingress rate control as claimed in claim 24, further comprising a step of:

- a. determining whether a plurality of tokens corresponding to a size of the packet are available in the leaky bucket; and
- b. selectively discarding the packet if there are insufficiently many tokens available in the leaky bucket.

While Carter discloses substantial features of the invention, such as the ingress rate controller and the plurality of token availability threshold level registers of claim 1, he does not expressly disclose the recited features of the method further comprising a step of determining whether a plurality of tokens corresponding to a size of the packet are available in the leaky bucket. The feature is expressly disclosed by Patel in a related endeavor.

Patel discloses as his invention a method and system for managing transmission resources in a wireless communications network including receiving a plurality of packets and determining a time duration for transmission of each packet [Abstract]. In particular, Patel discloses the additional recited feature of the egress controller further comprising a step of determining whether a plurality of tokens corresponding to a size of the packet are available in the leaky bucket (e.g., "token available for packet in queue?" 114/134) [Fig. 7]. Patel additionally teaches that packets are only transmitted when sufficient tokens 52 are available in the token bucket 50 for the power level and duration of a transmission token 70 representing the packet [col 10, L31-41] [Figs. 8a-e]. Patel also teaches that

if available resources do not exist to transmit a first packet in the queue 40, later queued packets for which sufficient resources are available will be transmitted to maximize use of available resources [col 9, L1-4].

It would thus be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine and/or modify Carter's invention with the above recited features, as disclosed by Patel, for the motivation of providing a method and system for managing transmission resources in a wireless communications network architecture [col 1, L30-34] [Fig. 1].

However, while the combination of Carter and Patel discloses substantial features of the invention such as the egress and ingress controllers of claim 1 and 9, respectively, as well as the threshold/discard registers, neither expressly discloses the additionally recited features of selectively discarding the packet if there are insufficiently many tokens available in the leaky bucket. The features are expressly disclosed by Gracon in a related endeavor.

Gracon discloses as his invention a packet scheduler including a packet manager interface, a policer, a congestion manager, a scheduler, and a virtual output queue (VOQ) handler [Abstract]. In particular, Gracon discloses the recited feature of selectively discarding the packet if there are insufficiently many tokens available in the leaky bucket (e.g. randomly dropping a packet based on drop probability Pb) [col 7, L28-53].

It would thus be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention resulting from the combination of Carter and Patel with the above recited feature, as disclosed by Gracon, for the motivation of providing an apparatus that is programmable to accommodate existing protocols and to anticipate any future protocols, as well as to efficiently schedule packets in a broadband data stream [col 2, L11-23].

### **Conclusion**

1. The Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.
2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

• Ferguson US Patent No.: 7,215,637

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Glenford Madamba whose telephone number is 571-272-7989. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin Wallace can be reached on 571-272-3440. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Glenford Madamba  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2151

*Valencia Martin Wallace  
SPE Art Unit 2151*